

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,530	02/24/2005	Hermes Reyes Cuadros	05019	7076
2338 7590 08712/2008 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET			EXAMINER	
			LIU, JONATHAN	
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/525,530 REYES CUADROS HERMES Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JONATHAN J. LIU 3673 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5.7 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5, 7, 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/525,530

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

 In view of the appeal brief filed on 4/28/2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Patricia L Engle/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3673.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an
application filed in Colombia on 9/26/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not
filed a certified copy of the CO 02075481 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,530 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sookne (US 3.813.715) in view of Blecker et al. (US 3.255.469), and in further view of Freeman (US 2,975,437). Sookne teaches a symmetric mattress comprising a central metallic spring unit (n), having opposite faces on each of which is disposed, in sequence: a first sisal layer (m); a natural cotton layer (k); and an outer textile padded layer (q) attached to a foam layer (h). Although Sookne does not teach wherein the foam layer is of natural latex or rubber nor wherein the layer is perforated, such materials are well known within the art of cushions/mattresses and are routinely interchanged with each other. Nonetheless, Blecker et al. teach a multiple layer cushion comprising a perforated rubber layer (18; col. 2, lines 23-27). Sookne and Blecker et al. are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e. cushions/mattresses. It would have been obvious to modify the foam layer of Sookne to be of rubber and have perforations as taught by Blecker et al. The motivation would have been to permit a freer flow of air through the mattress/cushion (Blecker: col. 3, lines 45-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the invention to Sookne as specified in claim 1. With regards to the limitation wherein the outer textile padded layer is sewn to the natural latex or rubber layer, such limitation is considered as a

Application/Control Number: 10/525,530

Art Unit: 3673

process limitation. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art. the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." [citations omitted] See MPEP 2113. Accordingly, the outer textile padded layer of Sookne is attached to the foam layer (e.g., the natural latex or rubber layer as modified) and thereby meets the limitations of the claim. While Sookne does teach a laver (i) between the natural cotton laver and the natural latex/rubber laver (as modified), Sookne does not teach wherein said layer is sisal. Freeman teaches a mattress comprising two sisal pads (20, 21 or 22, 23) on either side of a metallic spring core, to provide a relatively soft feeling mattress (col. 1, lines 30-32). Sookne and Freeman are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e. cushions/mattresses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify layer (i) of Sookne to be sisal, in order to provide a softer feeling mattress as well as provide additional cushioning. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the invention to Sookne as specified in claim 1.

With regards to claim 7, the components *necessarily* make up a ventilated unit dissipating heat, with an ergonomic fitting made up by the natural latex and the metallic spring unit in combination. It is noted that while Sookne as modified does not teach wherein layer (h) is *natural latex* – it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a natural latex layer (with

Application/Control Number: 10/525,530

Art Unit: 3673

perforations – as taught by Blecker et al.), since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice [citations omitted].

In regards to claim 9, Blecker et al. teach a second perforated natural latex or rubber layer (Blecker: e.g. 20). It would have been obvious to include this second layer with the invention to Sookne in order to provide additional cushioning.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JONATHAN J. LIU whose telephone number is (571)272-8227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,530 Page 6

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patricia L Engle/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3673

/J. J. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3673